THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

2526

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LESLIE JACK, individually and as personal representative of PATRICK JACK and DAVID JACK, individually,

Plaintiffs,

v. •

ASBESTOS CORPORATION LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AND SUBSTITUTE APPEARANCE OF LAW FIRM OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT BORGWARNER MORSE TEC LLC

### I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to LCR 83.2(b)(1), Richard Ross respectfully moves this Court to withdraw the law firm of Selman Breitman as counsel of record and substitute the law firm of Bennett Bigelow & Leedom as law firm of record in the above-captioned civil action. In support of this Motion, counsel submits the accompanying subjoined declaration in support thereof. Counsel for Plaintiff Benjamin Adams has stipulated to this Motion to Withdrawn and Substitute.

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS<sup>1</sup>

Defendant BorgWarner Morse TEC LLC is presently represented by Richard D. Ross of the law firm of Selman Breitman LP. Mr. Ross has terminated his employment with Selman Breitman and is now associated with the law firm of law firm of Bennett Bigelow & Leedom ("BBL"). The defendant BWMT having been advised has assigned responsibility for its defense

ROSS DEC. ISO MOTO WITHDRAW AND SUBSTITUTE APPEARANCE - Page 1

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

All facts stated herein are supported by the Declaration of Richard D. Ross in Support of Motion to Withdraw.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & p \end{bmatrix}$ 

in this matter from Selman Breitman to BBL. Pursuant to email dated July 17, 2018, counsel for plaintiff Benjamin Adams stipulates to this withdraw and substitution and has authorized Mr. Ross to file this Motion with the Court.

III. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

- 1. Declaration of Richard Ross to Withdraw and Substitute Appearance.
- 2. The records and pleadings in the Court File.

#### IV. LEGAL AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.2, no attorney shall withdraw except by leave of the Court. LCR 83.2(b)(1). "[T]he trial court retains wide discretion in a civil case to grant or deny [a] motion to withdraw." *Bohnert v. Burke,* No. CV–08–2303–PHX–LOA, 2010 WL 5067695, at \*1 (D. Ariz. Dec. 7, 2010) (citing *Le Grand v. Stewart,* 133 F.3d 1253, 1269 (9th Cir.1998)); *Ohntrup v. Firearms Center, Inc.,* 802 F.2d 676, 679 (3d Cir.1986)). *See Curtis v. Illumination Arts, Inc.,* 2014 WL 556010, at \*4 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 12, 2014).

The Court should consider various factors when evaluating a motion to withdraw, including (1) the reasons why withdrawal is sought; (2) the prejudice withdrawal may cause to other litigants; (3) the harm withdrawal might cause to the administration of justice; and (4) the degree to which withdrawal will delay the resolution of the case. *See Curtis*, 2014 WL 556010, at \*4 (citing *Bohnert*, 2010 WL 5067695, at \*2 and *In re Ryan*, No. 08–6250–HO, 2008 WL 4775108, at \*3 (D. Or. Oct. 31, 2008)).

Here the rationale for this withdraw is simple. Lead counsel for BWMT in this matter are Richard D. Ross and Michael Madderra, formerly of the law firm of Selman Breitman. Both Mr. Ross and Mr. Madderra have resigned from Selman Breitman in order to practice law with the law firm of Bennett Bigelow & Leedom. BMWT has authorized Mssrs. Ross and Madderra to continue as counsel of record at BBL in place of the law firm of Selman Breitman.

#### V. CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reasons, Richard Ross respectfully moves this Court to withdraw

MOTION OF RICHARD ROSS TO WITHDRAW AND SUBSTITUTE APPEARANCE - Page 2

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

and substitute his appearance in the above-captioned civil action to reflect his association with the law firm of Bennett Bigelow & Leedom in place of the law firm of Selman Breitman. A proposed order to the same effect is provided herewith.

DATED this 17th day of October, 2017.

#### BENNETT BIGELOW & LEEDOM, P.S.

By s/ Richard D. Ross
Richard D. Ross, WSBA #34502
Bennett Bigelow & Leedom, P.S.
601 Union Street, Suite 1500
Seattle, Washington 98101-1363
Email: rross@bbllaw.com
Attorney for Defendant

# ORDER

It is so ordered.

DONALD His 18th of Juy, 2018

JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge

MOTION OF RICHARD ROSS TO WITHDRAW AND SUBSTITUTE APPEARANCE - Page 3

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that on the following date, I, Richard D. Ross electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of the filing to all counsel of record who receive CM/ECF notification.

DATED this 17th day of July, 2018.

/s/ Richard D. Ross

MOTION OF RICHARD ROSS TO WITHDRAW AND SUBSTITUTE APPEARANCE - Page 4

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00537 JLR